7 0 12528

CROSS COMPLAINT

CRIDER, TILSON & RUPPE 10 South SPRING BYREY LOS ANGELES, CALFORNIA SOOIS TELEPHONE ESS-0131

Attorneys for Cross Complainant V. E.
KUSTER CO., INC., a corporation

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

11 MARVIN G. SMITH, JR.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

RAJAY CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

V. E. KUSTER CO., INC., a corporation.

Cross complainant,

vs.

2

3

5

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

RAJAY CORPORATION, a corporation,)
BELMONT AVIATION CORPORATION,
WEST COAST PROPELLER SALES AND
SERVICE COMPANY, BANNING
AVIATION, INC., DOES ONE THROUGH
PIPTY,

Cross defendants.

Cross complainant complains of cross defendants as

26 follows:

I

Cross complainant is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and is authorized to do business in California.

II

Cross defendants RAJAY CORPORATION, BELMONT AVIATION

CORPORATION, WEST COAST PROPELLER SALES AND SERVICE and BAHNING AVIATION, INC. are corporations doing business under the laws of and in the State of California.

# TIT

That the cross defendants DOES ONE THROUGH FIFTY are sued under fictitious names for the reason that their true names are unknown to this cross complainant; that when the true names and capacities are ascertained, cross complainant will ask leave of court to amend this cross complaint to insert the correct names.

# IV

That at all times hereinafter mentioned Joan Merriam Smith was an occupant of an aircraft described as a Cessna 182C Skyland, bearing registration No. N 8784T.

# V

That on or about Pebruary 17, 1965 the above described aircraft crashed and as a result thereof Joan Merriam Smith died. That thereafter her alleged surviving husband Marvin G. Smith, Jr. brought an action for wrongful death against this cross complainant in this court, being No. SO C 12528.

# VI

That in the Complaint, the surviving heir contends that the crash was caused by negligent conduct on the part of this cross complainant. Cross complainant has filed an Answer denying said negligent conduct on the part of cross complainant.

# VII

That the plaintiff alleges in his Complaint that this cross complainant negligently maintained the aircraft and permitted certain alleged defects in the engine and the airframe to exist, and further contends that this cross complainant negligently serviced repaired, modified and altered the said aircraft and maintained it in an unairworthy condition, and that all of the foregoing constituted a defective and hazardous condition to

persons flying in it, and that all of the foregoing was a proximate cause of the decedent's death.

VIII

described was in the exclusive physical custody of cross defendant RAJAY CORPORATION for one year preceding the accident and that during that period of time the RAJAY CORPORATION, BELMONT AVIATION CORPORATION, WEST COAST PROPELLER SALES AND SERVICE and BANNING AVIATION CORPORATION maintained, worked on, altered and modified the said aircraft and that if any alterations or condition or modifications were defective or negligently done, or if the aircraft was unairworthy or defective, then such condition, defect, negligent maintenance or unairworthiness were caused by or done by one of the cross defendants or all of them.

IX

That there is an actual controversy between the cross complainant and cross defendants relating to their legal rights and duties alleged to arise from the acts that occurred in plaintiff's Complaint. Cross complainant V. E. KUSTER CO., INC. claims and maintains that if it is found liable to the plaintiff it is entitled to be indemnified by the defendants and cross defendants in whatever amount it may be adjudged to be liable as a result of the alleged accident in plaintiff's Complaint, including reasonable costs and expenses incurred and the cost of legal representation in defending said lawsuit. The exact nature of the contentions made by the cross defendants is unknown to this cross complainant, but it is informed and believes and thereon alleges that cross defendants deny or dispute in some manner each of the aforementioned contentions.

WHEREFORE, cross complainant prays as follows:

1. That the court declare the duties of each and every

one of the defendants and cross defendants herein, including the obligation to indemnify defendant and cross complainant V. E. KUSTER CO., INC. for any and all liability, loss or expense in connection with plaintiff's causes of action.

- 2. For the defendant and cross complainant V. E. KUSTER CO., INC. expenses incurred by reason of plaintiff's asserted causes of action against V. E. KUSTER CO., INC., including legal services;
- 3. For defendant and cross complainant W. E. KUSTER
  CO., INC. court costs; and
- Por such further relief as to the court seems proper.

CRIDER, TILSON & RUPPE'

Attorneys for Cross Complainant V. E. KUSTER, CO., INC.